

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Police Department– Representation of Sri B.V. Madhusudhana Rao, SI of Police, formerly Atmakur PS. Kurnool District, now Nandyal I-Town P.S., Kurnool, District - Modified punishment of PPI for a period of two years without effect on future increments and pension – Set-aside – Orders – Issued.

---

HOME (SERVICES-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 1519

Dated:27 -8 - 2012

Read the following:

1. Representation dated:26-11-2008,of Sri.B.V. Madhusudhana Rao,SI of Police, Formerly Anantapur Range now working in Kurnool District.
2. Govt.Memo.No.36928/Ser.II/A1/2008, dated: 06-12-2008.
3. From the DGP, Rc.No.2203/Appeal-4/2008, dated:9-4-2009.
4. G.O.Rt.No.1330, Home(Ser.II) Department, dated:31-7-2009.
5. Representation dated:27-7-2009/ 7-8-2009,of Sri.B.V. Madhusudhana Rao, SI of Police, Formerly Anantapur Range now working in Kurnool District.

\*\*\*\*\*

ORDER:

In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, Sri. B.V.Madhusudhana Rao, SI of Police, formerly Atmakur PS, Kurnool District, now Ulindakonda PS, Kurnool District, has requested the Government to set aside the punishment of PPI for a period of two years with effect on future increments and pension, awarded by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Anantapur Range, Anantapur.

2. In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, the Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, has reported that Sri B.V.Madhusudhana Rao, SI of Police, formerly Atmakur PS. Kurnool District was dealt with on a P.Roll for the following delinquency:-

“Exhibited grave misconduct and gross neglect of duty by visiting Siddapuram village of Atmakur mandal, a highly extremist infested area on 29-1-2003 in TATA Sumo along with 2 other police officers without informing the local police and without heeding to the advise of SDPO, Atmakur to gain over the witnesses in connection with an inquiry pending before the Commissioner of Inquiries and caused dislocation of work and much embarrassment to the reputation of local police of Kurnool district in particular and lowered the police image in the eyes of public in general and thereby caused much hardship to the district police.”

3. The Director General of Police, AP, Hyderabad, has further reported that the Additional Superintendent of Police, Tirupathi, has conducted the O.E. and held the charge as '**not proved**'. Disagreeing with the findings of the O.E., the disciplinary authority i.e., Deputy Inspector General of Police, Anantapur Range, Anantapur, has issued dissent note to the Charged Officer and called for his further explanation. On receipt of his explanation to the dissent note, the disciplinary authority imposed the punishment of "**Postponement of increments for two years with effect on future increments and pension and the period of suspension spent by him from 10-02-2003 to 17-6-2003 was treated as not on duty for all purposes**" in their Procs.No.16/PR/C2/RO/03, dated: 05-02-2008. The individual's appeal was considered and rejected by the appellate authority in their Procs.No.32/L&O/RR-II/2008, dated: 24-10-2008. Aggrieved with the above orders, the individual has submitted a revision petition to the Government.

[

4. In the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above, Government modified the punishment of "PPI for a period of two years with effect on future increments and pension", to that of "PPI for a period of two years without effect on future increments and pension".

5. In the reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above, Sri. B.V.Madhusudhana Rao, SI of Police, formerly Atmakur PS, Kurnool District, now Ulindakonda PS, Kurnool District, has again requested the Government to set aside the punishment of PPI for a period of two years with effect on future increments and pension, awarded by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Anantapur Range, Anantapur.

6. Government, after careful re-examination of the entire matter, in detail, with the records made available, hereby set aside the modified punishment of "PPI for a period of two years without effect on future increments and pension (issued in the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above)", as the article of charge leveled against the individual was not held proved in the detailed enquiry based on the depositions of the witnesses, evidence etc.

7. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary further action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANHDRA PRADESH)

AJOYENDRA PYAL  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To  
The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad  
The individual concerned through D.G.P., AP., Hyderabad  
SF/SC

// FORWARDED:: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER